Using a Minimal Action Grammar for Activity Understanding in the Real World

Douglas Summers-Stay, Ching L. Teo, Yezhou Yang, Cornelia Fermuller and Yiannis Aloimonos

University of Maryland, College Park

Why a grammar for activities?

- How do humans come to understand, recognize, and replicate complex activities?
- Each observed instance of an activity is unique in terms of
 - Order
 - Limb motion
 - Appearance
- Somehow, the sensory data must be stored in a greatly compressed representation that captures relevant information
- Must be capable of handling actions of any complexity, where activities are composed of previously known actions and subactions
- Suggests that the brain uses a similar method for understanding both language and actions

Basic principle

- Many human activities consist of multiple actions, which themselves may be broken down into subactions
- We want to
 - Decide which sub-actions are part of a larger activity
 - Recognize activities when the sub-actions may be performed in various orders





Forming an activity tree



- Form a tree where two objects merge whenever they begin or stop co-moving
- Search tree for subtrees to recognize activities
- for example, making a closed sandwich involves
 - some kind of bread
 - adding any of several possible ingredients in any order
 - adding another piece of bread (which may itself have condiments)



How we recognize merge events



- Collect 3D pointcloud
- Recognize hand location from Kinect skeleton
- Extract objects
- Recognize when objects come into contact with hands or each other



The trees allow us to distinguish what parts of a video belong to which activities, even when the activities interrupt each other

Results



The Tree Edit Distance between activity trees provides a measure of similarity that can be used to recognize activities