Robust wavelet-based super-resolution reconstruction: Theory and Algorithm

Hui Ji Department of Mathematics National University of Singapore Cornelia Fermüller Computer Vision Laboratory, UMIACS University of Maryland

Super-resolution imaging

Problem statement

How to reconstruct a high-resolution image from a sequence of low-resolution images

Two key components

•Accurate image alignment

Homography-based image alignment with high accuracy

•Robust low-to-high resolution signal reconstruction scheme

Wavelet-based reconstruction with de-noising operator



Modeling image formation



Modeling relationship between LR signal y and HR signal x

•New model of the relation between low and high resolution images using filter bank theory

• 1D case:

Taylor expansion:
$$y = [H * X(F(t))]$$

 $= [H * X(\epsilon(t) + t)]$
 $= [H * X(t)] + \epsilon [H * X'(t)]$
 $= [H * X(t)] + \epsilon [H' * X(t)].$

$$y = [a * x] \downarrow_2 + e \cdot [b * x] \downarrow_2$$



Limit on Super-resolution imaging

• Examples: Box-type PSF

$$a = \frac{1}{4}(1,2,1), \quad a(z) = \frac{1}{4z^2}(1+z)^2$$

 $b = \frac{1}{4}(1,0,-1), \quad b(z) = \frac{1}{4z^2}(1-z^2)$

- Observation: a(z) and b(z) have a common factor c(z)=(1+z)
- Limitation: At most, a blurred version of x can be recovered for any PSF

$$x^{hr} = c * x$$

• 2D case for the example:

$$\mathbf{c} = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Basic idea

• Goal: reconstruct $x^{hr} = c * x$



• Recall the relationship between low and high resolution signal



Reconstruction scheme



- Hybrid shrinkage operator in Denoising
- Robust median regression

Flow estimation

Observation: the shape changes only with rotation



Image frames :
$$I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3, I_k$$

homography

$$P_k = R_k + \vec{v}_k \vec{n}^t$$

Flow estimation from multiple frames

$$\begin{aligned} (\frac{dI}{d\vec{r}})^t \vec{u}(\vec{r}) &= -\frac{dI}{dt} & \text{Optic flow constraint} \\ (\frac{dI}{d\vec{r}})^t (\vec{p}_k(\vec{r}) - \vec{p}_k^j(\vec{r})) &= I_k(\vec{r}) - I_0(p_k^j(\vec{r})) \\ & A_k(P_k^j) \vec{p}_k = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\min_{R_k,\vec{n},v_k} \sum_k \|A_k(P_k^j) \operatorname{vec}[R_k + \vec{v}_k \vec{n}^t]\|^2$$

subject to the constraints that the R_k s are rotation matrices and $\|\vec{n}\| = 1$

Given
$$P_k^j$$
 at step j , we compute $P_k^{j+1} = R^{j+1} + \vec{v}_k^{j+1} (\vec{n}^{j+1})^t$ at step $j+1$

Experiments

Synthesized data



Comparison between Tikhonov and wavelet-based regularization



(cont')

• Real video





Interpolation



POCS+Affine





POCS+Homography Wavelet

Wavelet+Homography









(cont'd)











Interpolation

Irani's + Affine

Irani's + Homography

Wavelet + Homography

(cont')



Key frame



Irani's + Affine



Interpolation



Wavelet + Affine